



# **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 20454PCT-F/R	FOR FURTHER AC		cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing dat	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2003/003091	25 March 2003	(25.03.2003)	25 March 2002 (25.03.2002)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or C08G 69/04	national classification and	d IPC	,		
Applicant	POLYMER ENGIN	VEERING GMBF	I		
and is transmitted to the applicant  2. This REPORT consists of a total of the applicant of	according to Article 36.  of 4 sheets,  mied by ANNEXES, i.e., for this report and/or sheet  ne Administrative Instruct	including this cover sheets of the descript ts containing rectifications under the PCT).	national Preliminary Examining Authority sheet.  ion, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule		
This report contains indications re      Basis of the report	elating to the following item				
II Priority III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to	o novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of invention  V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observation	ons on the international ap	plication			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
		Date of completion of this report			
14 October 2003 (14.)	1 <b>0.2003)</b>	02	2 July 2004 (02.07.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/E	P	Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

Translation

#### · INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

### PCT/EP2003/003091

1. Basis of the report								
1. With	regard to	o the elements of the international application:*						
	the inter	ernational application as originally filed						
	the desc	scription:						
	pages	<u>1-6</u> , as c	originally filed					
	pages	, filed wi	ith the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of						
M	the clair							
	pages	1-7 , as c	originally filed					
	pages	, as amended (together with any statement un						
1								
	pages							
	•							
	the drav	•						
ļ	pages	1/1 , as	originally liled					
	pages	, filed wi						
	pages	, filed with the letter of						
l LJ:	the seque	ence listing part of the description:						
	pages	, as	originally filed					
	pages	, filed w						
	pages	, filed with the letter of						
<ul> <li>2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:  the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).  3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the</li> </ul>								
	international application as filed has been furnished.  The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.							
4.	The am	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
1		the claims, Nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/fig						
5.	This rep	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been cod the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	onsidered to go					
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally illed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).								
** Any 1	** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.							

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International a ation No.
PCT/EP 03/03091

YES

NO

1-7

/. 	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
l.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims		YES		
	,,,	Claims	1-7	NO NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES		
miventive step (10)	Claims	1-7	NO			

Claims

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

Novelty and inventive step: WO97/45472 (D1) describes a production process for nylon 66 and copolyamides thereof, wherein the monomers adipic hexamethylenediamine and optionally caprolactam are pre-polymerised in a first process step in a pressure reactor (see drawing: 8), which is operated without removal of volatiles at a temperature of 180 to 280°C. The nylon is subsequently fed to further process steps, at the start of each of which the water which has evaporated is removed or expelled with inert gas (page 2, lines 6-11). The nylon melt is subsequently fed to a postcondensation reactor, where likewise water no longer needed is optionally expelled.

The claimed process also involves pre-polymerisation of the same monomers under the same conditions, the characterising feature being that the water is expelled in the further process step. This procedure appears similar to that described in D1. Claims 1-4 cannot therefore be acknowledged as novel over D1. Regarding device claims 5-7, the applicant is requested to explain what distinguishes the claimed device from that illustrated in D1.

Observations similar to those made with respect to D1 can

also be made on the basis of WO97/21758 (D2). Here again condensation water and process water appear to be removed in a process step downstream of pre-polymerisation. In the opinion of the examining authority, the claimed process does not therefore appear to involve an inventive step with respect to D2 (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).